

Market Change Request 1175			Provide MP Bus Ref for Reading		
Status	Approved	Priority	High	Status Date	07/03/2018

Date	Version	Reason for Change	Version Status
27/04/2016	2.0	Issued to Market	Final
21/06/2017	3.0	More detail on the scenario where a 210MM is sent and the 300 MM does not reference the Bus Ref	Final
07/02/2018	4.0	Re-Versioned and amended with extra detail on the Solution	Final

Part 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST / MARKET CHANGE REQUEST			
Requesting Organisation(s)	RMDS	Originating Jurisdiction	RoI
Request Originator Name	Mark Speers		
Date Raised	02/03/2016		

Classification of Request			
Jurisdictional Applicability	RoI	Jurisdictional Implementation	RoI Specific
If jurisdictional implementation is for one jurisdiction only – is the other jurisdiction required to effect any changes?	No	Co-Ordinated Baseline Version No.	TBC
Change Type	Non-Schema Impacting		

Detail of Request
Reason for Request
<p>To clarify and update the process where by a supplier sent customer read is submitted (in the period between when a meter reader card is left at the premise for no access to the point an estimate is created) but the 300 read that is returned does not reference the 210. It arrives as a networks provided 300 read. The 210 process is left open on the suppliers side. The 300 can have a CU read on it but no MPBusRef. With increased availability of options suppliers can get reads from customers e.g. online apps, the process behind to support this should be tightened up. What is sent references what we get back:</p> <p>When a 210 message is submitted the 300 read that is returned references the MPBusRef as quoted in the 210 message. However In certain circumstances where a 210 message is submitted the 300 read that is returned does not reference the MPBusRef as quoted in the 210 message. On investigation this appears to be where a 210 message is sent during a read cycle.</p> <p>This request is to clarify and update the process where by a supplier sent customer read is submitted (in the period between when a meter reader card is left at the premise for no access to the point an estimate is created) but the 300MM read in this circumstance where the read cycle is still open that is returned does not reference the 210. It arrives as a networks provided 300 read. The 210 process is left open on the suppliers side. The 300 in this circumstance can have a read on it but no MPBusRef. With increased availability of options suppliers can get reads from customers e.g. online apps, the process behind to support this should be tightened up. What is sent should reference what is sent back.</p>

Proposed Solution
<p>When a 210 read is sent during the read cycle and the read is validated, the 300 back to the Supplier should always have the Suppliers MPBusRef included in it ,however there is an exception in the event where a process is already in place for example a CoS or CoLE. Therefore to prevent an incorrect association of MPBusRef the reference will only be returned where read reason 95 is used.</p> <p>If the customer read is rejected the MPBusRef will be returned on the 303R. If the read is implausible and the read is estimated the MPBusRef will be returned on the 305MM. If the reading is reversed it will be returned on the 300WMM.</p>

Scope of Change

Jurisdiction	Design Documentation	Business Process	DSO Backend System Change	MP Backend System Change	Tibco	Supplier EMMA	Schema	Webforms	Extrane/NI Market Website
ROI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
NI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Co-Ordinated Baseline Market Design Documents Impacted by Request

Market Messages

Message No.	Message Name	CoBL	ROI	NI
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

Data Definitions

No Impact

Data Codes

No Impact

Market Message Implementation Guides

ROI	Yes/No	NI	Yes/No
Data Processing	Yes		

Comments

ROI - Market Process Diagrams – MPDs

Market Process Diagram Number	Market Process Diagram Description	Affected
None	None	None

NI - Market Procedures

Market Process Number	Market Procedure	Affected
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

ROI Guidance Documentation		
Document	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

ROI Briefing Documents		
Document/Paper	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

User and Technical Documents			
Reference	Name	Version	Affected
No impact			No Impact

Part 2 - Performance and Data Changes	
Market Messages volume, processing etc.	
Data	
Details of Data changes e.g. cleansing	

Part 3 - ReMCoSG / CER Approval		
Approved by	ReMCoSG	CER
Comments		