

Discussion Request 1175			Provide MP Bus Ref for Reading		
<b>Status</b>	Approved	<b>Priority</b>	High	<b>Status Date</b>	09/03/2016

Date	Version	Reason for Change	Version Status
02/03/2016	1.0	Issued to Market	Final

Part 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST / MARKET CHANGE REQUEST			
<b>Requesting Organisation(s)</b>	RMDS	<b>Originating Jurisdiction</b>	RoI
<b>Request Originator Name</b>	Mark Speers		
<b>Date Raised</b>	02/03/2016		

Classification of Request			
<b>Jurisdictional Applicability</b>	RoI	<b>Jurisdictional Implementation</b>	RoI Specific
<b>If jurisdictional implementation is for one jurisdiction only – is the other jurisdiction required to effect any changes?</b>	No	<b>Co-Ordinated Baseline Version No.</b>	TBC
<b>Change Type</b>	Non-Schema Impacting		

Detail of Request
<b>Reason for Request</b>
<p>To clarify and update the process where by a supplier sent customer read is submitted (in the period between when a meter reader card is left at the premise for no access to the point an estimate is created) but the 300 read that is returned does not reference the 210. It arrives as a networks provided 300 read. The 210 process is left open on the suppliers side. The 300 can have a CU read on it but no MPBusRef. With increased availability of options suppliers can get reads from customers e.g. online apps, the process behind to support this should be tightened up. What is sent references what we get back.</p>

Proposed Solution
<p>When a 210 read is sent and the read is validated, the 300 back to the supplier should always have the Suppliers BusRef included on it, at all times</p>

**Scope of Change**

Jurisdiction	Design Documentation	Business Process	DSO Backend System Change	MP Backend System Change	Tibco	Supplier EMMA	Schema	Webforms	Extranet/NI Market Website
<b>ROI</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>NI</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Co-Ordinated Baseline Market Design Documents Impacted by Request**

Market Messages				
Message No.	Message Name	CoBL	ROI	NI
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

Data Definitions
No Impact

Data Codes
No Impact

Market Message Implementation Guides			
ROI	Yes/No	NI	Yes/No
Data Processing	Yes		

Comments

ROI - Market Process Diagrams – MPDs		
Market Process Diagram Number	Market Process Diagram Description	Affected
None	None	None

NI - Market Procedures		
Market Process Number	Market Procedure	Affected
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

ROI Guidance Documentation		
Document	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

ROI Briefing Documents		
Document/Paper	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

<b>User and Technical Documents</b>			
<b>Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Affected</b>
No impact			No Impact

<b>Part 2 - Performance and Data Changes</b>	
<b>Market Messages volume, processing etc.</b>	
<b>Data</b>	
<b>Details of Data changes e.g. cleansing</b>	

<b>Part 3 - ReMCoSG / CER Approval</b>		
<b>Approved by</b>	<b>ReMCoSG</b>	<b>CER</b>
<b>Comments</b>		