

Discussion Request 1149			Request that Suppliers ensure that they submit only one active COLE 016MM for an MPRN		
Status	Approved	Priority	High	Status Date	05/11/2014

Date	Version	Reason for Change	Version Status
16/04/2014	1.0	Issued to Market	Final
30/09/2014	2.0	Issued to Market with WP 26 included and DR text slightly amended by RMDS to accommodate WP26.	Final
06/10/2014	3.0	Issued to Market with corrections requested by ESNB	Final

Part 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST / MARKET CHANGE REQUEST			
Requesting Organisation(s)	ESB Networks	Originating Jurisdiction	Rol
Request Originator Name	Theresa O'Neill		
Date Raised	24/03/2014		

Classification of Request			
Jurisdictional Applicability	Rol	Jurisdictional Implementation	Rol Specific
If jurisdictional implementation is for one jurisdiction only – is the other jurisdiction required to effect any changes?	N/A	Co-Ordinated Baseline Version No.	TBC
Change Type	Non-Schema Impacting		

Detail of Request
Reason for Request
<p>A small number of large Suppliers are sending in multiple COLE 016MM for single MPRNs.</p> <p>The evidence is that multiple 016MM come into the Central Market System from certain Suppliers, one 016 directly after the other.</p> <p>This has been causing internal processing problems, and needs manual intervention by ESNB. This ties up ESNB resources.</p>

Proposed Solution
<p>This DR initially set out to update the Supplementary Information in MPD25 and MPD14 with more detail around best practise guidelines for sending 016 Market Messages (with a focus on reducing multiple 016s sent in quick succession for the same MPRN). Through discussion at various IGG Forums and Conference Calls, it became apparent that there are certain circumstances when the sending of multiple 016 Market Messages in quick succession for the same MPRN is legitimate. The Supplementary Information is to be updated with the following information:-</p> <p>Only one active COLE 016MM for an MPRN should be submitted at any one time. This means that once an 016MM for an MPRN is submitted the supplier should ensure no further 016MM is submitted for that same MPRN and for the same "Effective from Date" that appears on the 116MM until either a 116MM (see MPD25) and its associated 300MM (see MPD14), or else a 116R (see MPD 25) has been received.</p> <p>The exceptions to this are as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where the supplier has already submitted a valid future dated 016MM, in which case the existing market rules remain in place. Where a Landlord/Tenant CoLE is taking place Suppliers may be required to send multiple 016MMs in quick succession for the same MPRN. <p>ESBN has proposed a Working Practice (WP26) for highlighting/communicating to Suppliers cases where multiple CoLE 016MM for single MPRNs have arrived in the central market system and failed. The Supplier can check back over their 016MM to see what have they submitted and can actively respond to these alerts by confirming for themselves that the valid 016MM has been processed, and in this case there is no need for the Supplier to confirm</p>

back to ESBN.

Should there be a need to make changes the Supplier is advised to submit an 013MM where data needs to be changed e.g. for changes to Vulnerable Customer details , or an 016MM if the name needs to be changed, or if the change cannot be made on an 013MM/016MM then the supplier is advised to contact ESBN Database.

The purpose of the DR is twofold

1. To provide guidelines to Market Participants around best practice for submitting 016 messages. The aim of this is to **reduce the number of erroneous 016s** sent for the same MPRN in quick succession.
2. To provide a working practise that ensures that **all Suppliers can, if needed, actively respond to alerts from ESB Networks where their duplicate(or multiple) 016MMs for a single MPRN have failed after arriving into the Central Market System in close proximity**

The Working Practice – **WP 0026 - Duplicate 016 Market Messages Process** is embedded into this DR below:-



Scope of Change

Jurisdiction	Design Documentation	Business Process	DSO Backend System Change	MP Backend System Change	Tibco	Supplier EMMA	Schema	Webforms	Extranet/NI Market Website
ROI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
NI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Co-Ordinated Baseline Market Design Documents Impacted by Request

Market Messages

Message No.	Message Name	CoBL	ROI	NI
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

Data Definitions

No Impact

Data Codes

No Impact

Market Message Implementation Guides

ROI	Yes/No	NI	Yes/No
	N/A	No Impact	Yes

Comments

ROI - Market Process Diagrams – MPDs		
Market Process Diagram Number	Market Process Diagram Description	Affected
MPD 14	MPD 14 - Readings Processing - Non QH Meter	Yes
MPD 25	MPD 25 - Change of Legal Entity	Yes

NI - Market Procedures		
Market Process Number	Market Procedure	Affected
No Impact	No Impact	No Impact

ROI Guidance Documentation		
Document	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

ROI Briefing Documents		
Document/Paper	Version	Affected
No impact		No Impact

User and Technical Documents			
Reference	Name	Version	Affected
No impact			No Impact

Part 2 - Performance and Data Changes	
Market Messages volume, processing etc.	
Data	
Details of Data changes e.g. cleansing	

Part 3 - ReMCoSG / CER Approval		
Approved by	ReMCoSG	CER
Comments		