

| | | | |
|-----------|-------------|--|-----------------|
| DR | 1141 | Supplier ID Validation on 260MM | Priority |
| | | | Medium |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Co-Ordinated Status | CLOSED - Approved | CR Status | Approved |
| | | Status Date | 04/12/2013 |

| Date | Version | Reason For Change | Version Status |
|------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| 27/11/2013 | v1.0 | Issued to Market | Final |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PART 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST/MARKET CHANGE REQUEST:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Requesting Organisation(s) | RMDS | Originating Jurisdiction | RoI |
| Contact name | Aileen O Connor | | |
| Date Request Raised | 22/11/2013 | Originating Request | |

Detail of Request
Reason for Request

A Non Conformance has been identified with the Market Design in relation to the 260 Market Message. Market Message Guide Meter Works states - The 260 Observation of problem, Damage or Tampering message allows the Supplier registered to the Meter Point to notify Networks of problems identified at a Meter point. A validation check is made to ensure that the Supplier is registered to the Meter Point. This check is not operating as designed as a non-registered supplier can currently send a 260MM and is not rejected. It has also been confirmed that this check is not operating in NI.

Proposed Solution

A Market Process to handle the rejection needs to be agreed. Options could be:
 1) Introduce a new reject message if the message is not sent from the registered supplier
 2) Create a new Working Practice so that the error is issued to the relevant business owner on a control report (similar to Idoc Errors)and ESBN manually advises Supplier that message is not processed
 The solution needs to be discussed with ESBN/IGG to achieve the best solution with least impact. For NI this needs to be discussed with NIE/CDA.

Classification of Request

| Co-Ordinated Baseline Impacting | | Co-Ordinated Baseline Version No. | | TBD |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| NI Specific | X | RoI Specific | X | Operational |
| | | | | Date of Transfer from ReMCoWG |

Scope of Request

| Design Documentation | Business Process | Market & MP Systems | Market Gateway (Hub) | MPCC/ EMMA | Schema | Web Forms | Extranet |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

Co-Ordinated Baseline Documents impacted by Request

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Market Messages: | Meter Works/Fieldwork Requests |
| Data Definitions: | TBD |
| Data Codes: | TBD |
| RoI MM Guide: | Meter works |
| NI MM Guide: | Meter Works |
| Harm. Impact (RoI): | TBD |
| Comment: | TBD |

Jurisdictional Market Design Baseline Documents impacted by Request

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Market Process Diagrams (MPDs): | MPD 12 - Meter Problems and damage |
| Guidance Documentation | No impact |
| Briefing Documents: | No impact |
| User and Technical Documents: | No impact |
| Comment: | TBD |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| DR | 1141 | Supplier ID Validation on 260MM | | | <i>Priority</i> Medium | |
| PART 2 MARKET ASSURANCE: | | | | | | |
| Applicability | | DSO | Suppliers | TSO | SEMO | Generators |
| | | X | X | | | |
| Scope of Test | | Business Solution Assessment | Integration Test Assessment | Data Transfer Testing | IPT | Other (specify) |
| | | X | | X | | |
| PART 3 RECOMMENDATION | | | | | | |
| Recommended by | | SIG | | IGG | | HWG |
| ACCEPTANCE (Date) | REJECTION (Date) | NO RECOMMENDATION | | COMMENT | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Reason for Recommendation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |