

<b>DR</b>	<b>1114</b>	<b>Post-Harmonisation Sundry Technical Changes to HBL / Schema</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>Harmonisation Status</b>		<b>IN PROGRESS - For Discussion with HWG</b>	<b>CR Status</b>	<b>Issued</b>
			<b>Status Date</b>	<b>05/06/2013</b>

Date	Version	Reason For Change	Version Status
05/06/2013	v1.0	Issued to Market	Final

PART 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST/MARKET CHANGE REQUEST:			
<b>Requesting Organisation(s)</b>	ReMCoDS	<b>Originating Jurisdiction</b>	TBD
<b>Contact name</b>	David Walshe		
<b>Date Request Raised</b>	26/01/2012	<b>Originating Request</b>	

**Detail of Request**

**Reason for Request**

This Request provides a single gathering point for a number of small technical changes to the HBL and Harmonised Schema that arose during the course of the Harmonisation Projects (Enduring Solution in NI and HSP in ROI) but were not possible to implement at that time. In all cases the changes are technical in that they do not materially change the business content of either product. However, all changes will require some level of technical change in the implemented systems. This request is not to be considered until after the initial Harmonised market releases have been implemented in both jurisdictions.

At the time of preparing the DR for approval at ReMCoWG, it was decided to exclude non-schema changes and move these to a separate DR (DR 1129). Therefore this DR is for the schema changes that were identified during the course of the Harmonisation Projects.

**Proposed Solution**

The list of proposed changes is as follows:

ID.	Nature of Change	Impact Summary		Details of change
		HBL	Schema	
1	Correction of typographic errors in schema message Names		Yes	Change name of MM 301N from "MIM301N_ProposedMeterPointCharacteristicsChangeofLegalEntity" to "MIM301N_ProposedMeterPointCharacteristics" Change name of MM 598 from "MIM598_NonPatGUAgregation" to "MIM598_NonPartGUAgregation"
2	Removal of spurious details in the schema		Yes	The type EmailType is defined in the current approved schema but this type is not used by any element in any message in the schema. This type was inherited from the original ROI schema
3	HBL Codes updates and corrections	Yes	Yes	Remove Reject Reason Code (MUL) as is shown as not valid in either jurisdiction
4	HBL Codes updates and corrections	Yes	Yes	MCC68 is not valid in ROI (stood down some time ago) and is not valid in NI. Should be removed from schema. Comments also apply to MCC (Required).
5	HBL Codes updates and corrections	Yes	Yes	Observation Code (78, 213, 215) as is shown as not valid in either jurisdiction

Addendum Location: (Z:\Market Design\Change Requests\Harmonisation Change Requests)

<b>DR</b>	<b>1114</b>	<b>Post-Harmonisation Sundry Technical Changes to HBL / Schema</b>				<i>Priority</i> <b>Low</b>
<b>Classification of Request</b>						
<b>Harmonisation Impacting</b>			<b>X</b>	<b>Harmonisation Baseline Version No.</b>		<b>TBD</b>
<b>NI Specific</b>		<b>RoI Specific</b>		<b>Operational</b>		<b>Date of Transfer from HWG</b>
		<b>X</b>			<b>X</b>	
<b>Scope of Request</b>						
<b>Design Documentation</b>	<b>Business Process</b>	<b>Market &amp; MP Systems</b>	<b>Market Gateway (Hub)</b>	<b>MPCC/ EMMA</b>	<b>Schema</b>	<b>Web Forms</b>
		<b>X</b>			<b>X</b>	<b>Extranet</b>
<b>Harmonisation Baseline Documents impacted by Request</b>						
<b>Market Messages:</b>	No impact					
<b>Data Definitions:</b>	No impact					
<b>Data Codes:</b>	Yes					
<b>RoI MM Guide:</b>	No impact					
<b>NI MM Guide:</b>	No impact					
<b>Harm. Impact (RoI):</b>	No impact					
<b>Comment:</b>	ID 1: schema name change only, ID 2: type on schema only, ID 3: schema and HBL change only, ID 4: schema and HBL change only, ID 5: schema & HBL only					
<b>Jurisdictional Market Design Baseline Documents impacted by Request</b>						
<b>Market Process Diagrams (MPDs):</b>	No impact					
<b>Guidance Documentation</b>	No impact					
<b>Briefing Documents:</b>	No impact					
<b>User and Technical Documents:</b>	No impact					
<b>Comment:</b>						
<b>PART 2 MARKET ASSURANCE:</b>						
<b>Applicability</b>	<b>DSO</b>	<b>Suppliers</b>	<b>TSO</b>	<b>SEMO</b>	<b>Generators</b>	
		<b>X</b>				
<b>Scope of Test</b>	<b>Business Solution Assessment</b>	<b>Integration Test Assessment</b>	<b>Data Transfer Testing</b>	<b>IPT</b>	<b>Other (specify)</b>	
<b>PART 3 RECOMMENDATION</b>						
<b>Recommended by</b>		<b>CDA</b>		<b>IGG</b>		<b>HWG</b>
<b>ACCEPTANCE (Date)</b>	<b>REJECTION (Date)</b>	<b>NO RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>			
<b>Reason for Recommendation</b>						