

<b>DR</b>	<b>1018</b>	<b>To Allow Supplier Provided Readings received after 12 months to be validated in the same way as any other reading</b>	<i>Priority</i> <b>Fast Track</b>
-----------	-------------	--	--------------------------------------

<b>Harmonisation Status</b>	Progress to Change Request	<b>CR Status</b>	Approved
		<b>Status Date</b>	12/10/2010

Date	Version	Reason For Change	Version Status
04/10/2010	v1.0	Initial Version	Final
13/10/2010	v2.0	Converted from MCR - No changes from associated Discussion Request	Final

**PART 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST/MARKET CHANGE REQUEST:**

<b>Requesting Organisation(s)</b>	NIE	<b>Originating Jurisdiction</b>	NI
<b>Contact name</b>	Joan-Edel Smith		
<b>Date Request Raised</b>	20/09/2010	<b>Originating Request</b>	-

**Detail of Request**  
**Reason for Request**

This Discussion Request proposes to remove the rule for NI which allows readings which have been provided by a Supplier, after a period of more than 12 months since previous readings, to be regarded as valid unless they are lower than the previous readings. This rule was introduced in the interim solution in NI as the result of change request CRID 114 which was raised due to concern that there was a very high probability that readings obtained after 12 months would fail normal reading validation and therefore would not be used to update EAC/AACs for Settlement or DUoS billing. During the Harmonisation Project and Requirements Review NIE has investigated the arrangements that apply for a similar readings scenario in RoI and now proposes to adopt the RoI process.

To harmonise the rules on meter reading validation on Supplier provided readings

**Proposed Solution**

To validate all Supplier provided readings regardless of the period of time since previous readings were obtained. Where readings fail validation they can either be made plausible, or where implausible and estimation is permitted, estimated.

**Classification of Request**

<b>Harmonisation Impacting</b>		<b>X</b>	<b>Harmonisation Baseline Version No.</b>		<b>TBD</b>
<b>NI Specific</b>		<b>RoI Specific</b>		<b>Operational</b>	<b>HWG Transfer Date</b>
					<b>TBD</b>

**Scope of Request**

Design Documentation	Business Process	Market & MP Systems	Market Gateway (Hub)	MPCC/ EMMA	Schema	Web Forms	Extranet
<b>X</b>							

**Harmonisation Baseline Documents impacted by Request**

<b>Market Messages:</b>	No impact
<b>Data Definitions:</b>	No impact
<b>Data Codes:</b>	TBD
<b>Value Lists:</b>	No impact
<b>RoI MM Guide:</b>	TBD
<b>NI MM Guide:</b>	NI Market Message Implementation Guide - Data Processing
<b>Harm. Impact (RoI):</b>	TBD
<b>Change Note (NI):</b>	Yes
<b>Comment:</b>	

<b>DR</b>	<b>1018</b>	<b>To Allow Supplier Provided Readings received after 12 months to be validated in the same way as any other reading</b>	<i>Priority</i> <b>Fast Track</b>
-----------	-------------	--	--------------------------------------

Jurisdictional Market Design Baseline Documents impacted by Request	
<b>Market Process Diagrams (MPDs):</b>	No impact
<b>Guidance Documentation</b>	No impact
<b>Briefing Documents:</b>	No impact
<b>User and Technical Documents:</b>	No impact
<b>Comment:</b>	

PART 2 MARKET ASSURANCE:					
<b>Applicability</b>	DSO	Suppliers	TSO	SEMO	Generators
	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>			
<b>Scope of Test</b>	Business Solution Assessment	Integration Test Assessment	Data Transfer Testing	IPT	Other (specify)

PART 3 RECOMMENDATION							
<b>Recommended by</b>		<b>SIG</b>		<b>IGG</b>		<b>HWG</b>	
<b>ACCEPTANCE (Date)</b>	<b>REJECTION (Date)</b>	<b>NO RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>				
<b>Reason for Recommendation</b>							