

---

## MIG Design Discussion Request

Discussion Request Number	Title	Priority
DR 0006	<i>Change to field name on 114 message</i>	<i>MED</i>

Name of Requesting Organisation	<i>ESB MOIP</i>
Contact name	<i>Sharon Tobin</i>
Date Request sent to ESB MOIP	<i>N/A</i>

Detail of Discussion Request	
<p>Each of the market messages contains a field called Message Type in the header. Message 114 contains a second field called 'Message Type' (to indicate whether the message is a response or an advice). It is proposed to rename this field to 'Message Status' in line with the XML schema and to avoid confusion as these fields are used in two completely different contexts with different valid values and field sizes.</p>	
Reason for Discussion Request	
To avoid confusion arising from same field name being used in two different contexts	
Market Design Documents impacted by Request	
Ver 4.1 of Market Messages – 114 - Change of Customer Details Confirmation Ver 4.1 Business Data Definitions	
Date of MIG where discussed	<i>01 /04 /04</i>
Change Request xref (if applicable)	<i>CLOSED by raising MCR 0005</i>