

## MIG Design Discussion Request

Discussion Request Number	Title	Priority
DR 0005	<i>Sundry Changes to Ver 4.1 Market Messages</i>	<i>MED</i>

<b>Name of Requesting Organisation</b>	<i>ESB MOIP</i>
<b>Contact name</b>	<i>Sharon Tobin</i>
<b>Date Request sent to ESB MOIP</b>	<i>N/A</i>

Detail of Discussion Request	
<u>Aggregation Messages – 501, 501S, 505, 505S</u>	
The first Additional Aggregation segment in messages 501, 501S, 505 and 505S should be documented as level 4.	
The second Additional Aggregation segment in messages 501, 501S, 505 and 505S should be documented as level 5	
<u>Message – 341</u>	
Message 341 is missing the cardinality of the Channel Level Details - Level 4. It should be 1..N	
<u>Optionality of Customer Service Special Needs and Medical Equipment Special Needs</u>	
The optionality of Customer Service Special Needs and Medical Equipment Special Needs is incorrect on messages 101, 105, 102N. These fields should be Optional not Mandatory.	
<u>MCC 05</u>	
MCC05 describes a MD Register group which has only 1 set of MD registers which can have a Seasonal TOU. This is not requestable, any new or upgraded MD site will be fitted with a MFM which will have both Normal and Peak Registers fitted and read for billing. The comments on v 4.1 of the data codes should be updated to 'Describes the Legacy configurations which exist but which will not be requestable' to reflect this.	
<u>Changes to Meter Works type</u>	
Changes are required to the following Meter works Type codes	
<b>M04</b>	Exchange MD for MV90 <b>TO</b> Exch to MV 90
<b>M09</b>	Exchange token meter <b>TO</b> Token Meter Fault/Ex
<b>M10</b>	Recalibrate/reset/Check token meter <b>TO</b> Reset Token Meter
Reason for Discussion Request	
Inaccuracies in Ver 4.1 of market message documentation	
Market Design Documents impacted by Request	
Ver 4.1 of Market Messages	
<b>Date of MIG where discussed</b>	<i>01 /01/04</i>
<b>Change Request xref (if applicable)</b>	<i>CLOSED by raising MCR 0004</i>