

<b>DR</b>	<b>1038</b>	<b>Supplier Advice of Long-Term Vacant Property</b>	<i>Priority</i> <b>Fast Track</b>
-----------	-------------	---	--------------------------------------

<b>Harmonisation Status</b>	Progress to Change Request	<b>CR Status</b>	Approved
		<b>Status Date</b>	18/11/2010

Date	Version	Reason For Change	Version Status
10/11/2010	v1.0	Issued for HWG review	Final

**PART 1 DETAIL OF DISCUSSION REQUEST/MARKET CHANGE REQUEST:**

<b>Requesting Organisation(s)</b>	NIE	<b>Originating Jurisdiction</b>	NI
<b>Contact name</b>	Joan-Edel Smith		
<b>Date Request Raised</b>	04/11/2010	<b>Originating Request</b>	-

**Detail of Request**  
**Reason for Request**

The current NI Retail Market Procedures allow for the reserved word "vacant" to be used in the Customer Name field on the Change to Customer Details Market Message 013. This uses a field within the 013 market message which should be strictly used for Customer Name.

This Discussion Request proposes the introduction of a new field on the Change to Customer Details Market Message 013. This new field will be used to allow Suppliers to indicate to NIE when they consider a site to be "Long-Term Vacant".

It is viewed that a specific field on the 013 market message should be introduced to provide a more definite approach to this requirement and a much clearer solution.

To provide a better use of market message fields

**Proposed Solution**

A new field will be introduced on 013 market message called "Vacant Site Indicator". This will be a Boolean field which must be populated as "1" = True or "0" = False.

Where the field is populated as True, an exception will be raised. This exception will be used to verify that the additional elements of reporting a Long-Term Vacant site are in place. Based on this NIE will take appropriate action to progress the Long-Term Vacant process. This includes verification that NIE has received the correct number of consecutive observations from its own meter readers that the property appears to be vacant. Suppliers will be advised of meter readers observations through the 305 market message in this case.

The following changes will be required:  
 - New Field "Vacant Site Indicator" to be added to 013 Market Message "Change to Customer Details". This will be a Boolean True/False flag. This flag should only be set to "1" i.e. a logical value of True where Suppliers wish to advise that a site is Long-Term Vacant.

**Classification of Request**

<b>Harmonisation Impacting</b>		<b>X</b>	<b>Harmonisation Baseline Version No.</b>		<b>TBD</b>
<b>NI Specific</b>		<b>Rol Specific</b>		<b>Operational</b>	<b>Date of Transfer from HWG</b>

**Scope of Request**

Design Documentation	Business Process	Market & MP Systems	Market Gateway (Hub)	MPCC/ EMMA	Schema	Web Forms	Extranet
<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>			<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>	

<b>DR</b>	<b>1038</b>	<b>Supplier Advice of Long-Term Vacant Property</b>	<i>Priority</i> <b>Fast Track</b>
<b>Harmonisation Baseline Documents impacted by Request</b>			
<b>Market Messages:</b>	Market Messages - Customer Details		
<b>Data Definitions:</b>	Yes		
<b>Data Codes:</b>	No impact		
<b>Value Lists:</b>	No impact		
<b>Rol MM Guide:</b>	ROI Market Message Guide - Customer and Data Agreements		
<b>NI MM Guide:</b>	NI Market Message Implementation Guide - Customer Data and Agreements		
<b>Harm. Impact (Rol):</b>	Yes		
<b>Change Note (NI):</b>	Yes		
<b>Comment:</b>			

<b>DR</b>	<b>1038</b>	<b>Supplier Advice of Long-Term Vacant Property</b>	<i>Priority</i> <b>Fast Track</b>
-----------	-------------	---	--------------------------------------

Jurisdictional Market Design Baseline Documents impacted by Request	
<b>Market Process Diagrams (MPDs):</b>	No impact
<b>Guidance Documentation</b>	No impact
<b>Briefing Documents:</b>	No impact
<b>User and Technical Documents:</b>	No impact
<b>Comment:</b>	Market Message 013 would need to be updated with the new field plus a comment "not relevant to ROI"

PART 2 MARKET ASSURANCE:					
<b>Applicability</b>	DSO	Suppliers	TSO	SEMO	Generators
	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>			
<b>Scope of Test</b>	Business Solution Assessment	Integration Test Assessment	Data Transfer Testing	IPT	Other (specify)

PART 3 RECOMMENDATION					
<b>Recommended by</b>		<b>SIG</b>	<b>IGG</b>	<b>HWG</b>	
<b>ACCEPTANCE (Date)</b>	<b>REJECTION (Date)</b>	<b>NO RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>		
<b>Reason for Recommendation</b>					